



DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

25 NOV 1964

SUBJECT: Naval Vessel Search - Communist China

TO: NPIC

1. Reference CIA requirement for photo search for "new generation" naval vessels in operation or under construction in Communist China.

2. Attached summarizes DIAAP-1's response to requirement No. C-C14-81,904.

1 Enclosure
2 cys Increment #1 (2 pgs)

Chief, DIAAP-1P

Page No. 1 of 1 pages

Copy 1 of 3 Copies

DIA review(s)
completed.

BEST COPY
Available
THROUGHOUT
FOLDER

6/24/98

SECRET

25X

CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY

INCREMENT NO. 1

25X

The below listed shipyards were searched for new generation naval vessels afloat or under construction with the indicated results:

25X1A

a. Dairen/Pt. Arthur Area Photos

(1) Dairen Main Yard - No unusual building activity observed. 1 "G" class SSB was observed on [redacted] afloat alongside Pier No. 4. Several Shanshai class PT and prob MK-6 class LCM were under construction on marine railways in this yard on the [redacted] photography. Comparison with [redacted] showed an unidentified vessel approximately 300' LOA, 30' beam, U/C or in repair, on marine railway #2.

25X1A

(2) Luta Shipyard - Analysis of [redacted] photography produced negative results. No new generation naval vessels were observed.

25X1A

(3) Pt. Arthur - Repair Yard/Submarine Base - The Pt. Arthur shipyard was covered on [redacted] and a prob KOMAR class PTG was observed in drydock No. 2. A comparison was made with [redacted] and the KOMAR PTG was not observed nor was there any other indication of "new generation" shipbuilding.

b. Shanghai Complex - Photography

25X1A

(1) Kiangnan Yard - No unusual building activity observed. Yard engaged primarily in ship repair.

(2) Hutung Yard - No "new generation" naval vessels were observed under construction or repair in this yard.

(3) Shanghai Shipyard (east) - Two 83' boats of the P-6 hull-type were observed in this yard (one on railway and one in water), however they did not appear to be unusually configured. No other unusual activity noted.

(4) Shanghai Shipbuilding and Repair Yard - Activity appears to consist of mer ship repair or building. No naval vessels observed.

(5) Shanghai Shipyard (west) - No naval vessels observed.

(6) Chunghua Yard - No naval vessels observed.

(7) Kiousin (Chiuhsin) Yard - Four U/I vessels observed on railways, length approximately 90 feet, possible mer ships.

(8) Tungchiatu Yard - Repair and/or construction apparently limited to mer ships at time of photo coverage.

(9) Changhuapeng Yard - No naval vessels observed.

Copy 1 of 2 Copies

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM ONLY

SECRET

25X

25X

(10) Palianchang Yard - Activity at time of photography limited to mership repair or construction.

c. Canton/Whampoa Area:

25X1A

(1) Tunglang Yard - No naval vessels nor new types under construction noted.

(2) Changchou Yard (Danes Island) - The only unusual vessel observed was a PC type naval ship. Moored at the offshore wharf, this vessel appeared slightly similar to a Japanese PC of the Hayabusa class, with a flush decked hull approximately 180' L.O.A., approximately 24' maximum beam. This unit has its superstructure slightly forward of amidships and a probable stack and box-like structure aft of amidships. Armament consists of probable small caliber AA guns forward and aft and probable stern depth charge racks. No gun tubs or gun mounts noted.

(3) Paihsienko Yard - No naval vessels or new types under construction were noted.

25X1A

(4) Muishan Yard - No new or unusual naval vessels observed.

(5) Yukuo Yard - No naval vessels or new types under construction were noted.

Photo References:

25X1A

CONTROL SYSTEM OVER

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/03/06 : CIA-RDP81T009

000100110001-0

25X1A

25X1A

DRAFT

25X1A Data for Suggested memo to [] CGS []

1. The attached P. I. report titled "Increment No. 1" is a response to CIA in answer to CGS requirement No. C-C14-81,904.
2. This comes as a complete surprise to us in view of the fact that we have been attempting to get in a posture to properly service all headquarters requests. The latest survey having been conducted in concert with CGS in August and September 1964.
3. We were not the only ones surprised in as much as the CGS Liaison Officer at PID, [] was unaware of CGS levying CIA requirements directly on DIA.
4. Had this requirement been received by PID it could have been handled departmentally or forwarded to the Ad Com - Secretariat for National consideration. However, such a CIA requirement levied directly on DIA was handled by DIA and not submitted to the NPIC secretariat or Ad Com.
5. We also understand that there are more requirements from CGS that have been levied directly on DIA. The CIA analyst [] requesting the work was apparently unaware that CGS had farmed out his requirement to DIA. []
6. In talking with [] it appears that the chronology of C-C14-81,904 was as follows:

(a) CGS believed that PID/IB backlog was such that a relatively low priority project would not receive attention for months.

(b) [] talked with the Navy member of the NPIC Ad Com about doing the work - and it was agreed the Navy

Approved For Release 2003/03/06 : CIA-RDP81T00990R000100110001-0

would accept the requirement.

(c) Later, the Navy Ad Com representative called Mr.

25X1A

[redacted] to state that since the Navy no longer carried the responsibility to accept such work that the requirement should be submitted to DIA.

25X1A

(d) [redacted] who

stated DIA would be happy to do the work.

(e) The work was performed by DIA-API in [redacted]

25X1A

7. We do not feel that such maneuvering was necessary nor that it can be justified. We feel relatively certain that it did not contribute much to the image DIA may have of CIA.

25X1A

